1	Medtronic, Inc., Case No. 07-5352 (WHA), filed on October 19, 2007. Baque is assigned to the
2	Honorable William H. Alsup. Another related case, West v. Medtronic, Inc., et al, Case No. 07-
3	5697 (WHA), filed on November 8, 2007, was reassigned to the Honorable William H. Alsup on
4	January 14, 2008. A copy of the Reassignment Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
5	Defendants have no objection to this motion.
6	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(d)(2), these cases should be deemed as related. The
7	Hunter, the Baque and the West actions allege many of the same facts and assert that the common
8	Defendant, Medtronic, Inc., negligently and wrongfully marketed Sprint Fidelis defibrillator leads
9	to be used in connection with implantable cardioverter defibrillators in Plaintiffs' hearts. Each of
10	these cases assert nearly identical facts and legal theories that arise out of the development,
11	manufacture and sale of the same devices. It is clear that each of these cases should be treated as
12	related.
13	Further, Plaintiff in <i>Baque</i> has moved that these and other Sprint Fidelis lead cases
14	be centralized by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation in the matter of <i>In re: Medtronic</i>
15	Sprint Fidelis Leads Products Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1905. Plaintiff in Hunter
16	subsequently filed a response supporting centralization of these cases. The matter is scheduled
17	for hearing on January 30, 2008, before the Judicial Panel.
18	All of above listed actions allege that Defendants improperly developed, designed,
19	manufactured and marketed the Sprint Fidelis leads, which fracture as a result of stress after they
20	have been implanted in the cardiac patients' hearts for a period of time. It is asserted in each
21	action that Defendants have subjected plaintiffs to the same illegal conduct.
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(a)(2), it appears likely that there will be an unduly
23	burdensome duplication of labor and expense or the possibility of conflicting results if the cases
24	are conducted before different Judges.
25	
26	

27

Document 24

Filed 01/16/2008

Page 3 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-05352-WHA

$\underline{EXHIBIT\ A}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, California 94102

www.cand.uscourts.gov

Richard W. Wieking Clerk

General Court Number 415.522.2000

January 14, 2008

CASE NUMBER: CV 07-05697 MEJ

CASE TITLE: WILLIE WEST-v-MEDTRONIC INC.

REASSIGNMENT ORDER

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR,

IT IS ORDERED that this case is reassigned to the San Francisco division.

Honorable WILLIAM H. ALSUP for all further proceedings.

Counsel are instructed that all future filings shall bear the initials **WHA** immediately after the case number.

ALL MATTERS PRESENTLY SCHEDULED FOR HEARING ARE VACATED AND SHOULD BE RENOTICED FOR HEARING BEFORE THE JUDGE TO WHOM THE CASE HAS BEEN REASSIGNED.

Date: 1/14/08

FOR THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Yashand W. Wiehing

NEW CASE FILE CLERK:

Copies to: Courtroom Deputies

Log Book Noted

Special Projects

Entered in Computer 1/14/08AS

CASE SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATOR:

Copies to: All Counsel

Transferor CSA